

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,556	12/13/2005	Sushil K Dubey	GRT/4062-167	5359
23117 7590 01/24/2008 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			DAVIS, BRIAN J	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		,				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/540,556	DUBEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Brian J. Davis	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>05 November 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 12-23 is/are pending in the appli	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-23</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>12-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	•	•				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	<u> </u>					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage				
application from the International B		rossived				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certilled copies not	receiveu.				
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 Interview C	Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	8) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/5/07.	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/540,556

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The objection to the specification, outlined in the previous Office Action, is maintained for reasons of record. Applicant has attempted the correction of exactly one passage - of many - and introduced yet another obvious grammatical error in the attempt ("...will require carrying out [a] number of repeated operations...").

Claim Objections Withdrawn

The objection to claims 1-11, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment cancels the claims.

112 Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 1-11 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment cancels the claims.

Claim Objections, NEW

Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: the use of non-standard English grammar ("...consisting of alcoholic solvent in mixture with polar solvent...). The examiner respectfully suggests a rewording, something along the lines

of: "...consisting of an alcoholic solvent and a polar solvent...". Appropriate correction is required.

Applicant's assistance is respectfully requested in correcting any other minor grammatical and/or spelling errors which may be present in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, NEW

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In step (i), the exact meaning of the term "converting" is unclear because it is undefined.

Double Patenting, NEW

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory

double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 12-23 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of copending Application No. 10/589,999. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the applications are drawn to the same invention despite the different groupings of the limitations.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

The subject matter of claims 12-23 would be allowable, for reasons of record, once the about outlined new objections and rejections have been overcome.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

10/540,556

Art Unit: 1621

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne (Bonnie) Eyler can be reached at 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,556 Art Unit: 1621

Brian J. Davis

January 19, 2008

Page 6